%JS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	-		DEFENDANTS			
TAMI & TERENCE JACKSON			NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.			
,, iiii a 121121102 s, tertosit			,			
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff		County of Residence of First Listed Defendant			
(c) Attorney's (Firm Na	(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, Telephone Number and Email Address)			D CONDEMNATION CASES, US	SE THE LOCATION OF THE	
Craig Thor Kimmel, Es			LAND	INVOLVED.		
Kimmel & Silverman, P.C. 30 E. Butler Pike			Attorneys (If Known)			
Ambler, PA 19002						
(215) 540-8888						
	**		(For Diversity Cases Only)		and One Box for Defendant)	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citize		TF DEF 1 □ 1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In This		
2 U.S. Government	1 4 Diversity	Citize	en of Another State	2		
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	and a	a.i a	of Business In		
			en or Subject of a Teign Country	3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation	0606	
IV. NATURE OF SUI	Γ (Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS	l RO	DRFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJUR	RY [] 610	0 Agriculture	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 400 State Reapportionment	
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 362 Personal Injury ☐ 315 Airplane Product Med. Malpractic		0 Other Food & Drug 5 Drug Related Seizure	28 USC 157	410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking	
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability		of Property 21 USC 881 0 Liquor Laws	PROPERTY RIGHTS	☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation	
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander 368 Asbestos Person 330 Federal Employers' Injury Product	al 🗇 640	0 R.R. & Truck 0 Airline Regs.	☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent	470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations	
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability Liability	□ 660	0 Occupational	☐ 840 Trademark	3 480 Consumer Credit	
	☐ 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPER☐ 345 Marine Product ☐ 370 Other Fraud		Safety/Health 0 Other		☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 810 Selective Service	
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability		LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards	SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff)	 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 	
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Property Damage	e	Act	☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	☐ 875 Customer Challenge	
☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability		0 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 0 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions	
☐ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY	Injury CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIO	NS 740	& Disclosure Act 0 Railway Labor Act	865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS	891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act	
210 Land Condemnation	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 510 Motions to Vaca	ite 🗇 790	0 Other Labor Litigation	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	☐ 893 Environmental Matters	
	☐ 442 Employment Sentence ☐ 443 Housing/ Habeas Corpus:	13 79.	1 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	or Defendant) ☐ 871 IRS—Third Party	894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information	
 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 	Accommodations		IMMIGRATION	26 USC 7609	Act 900Appeal of Fee Determination	
☐ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - ☐ 540 Mandamus & Ot Employment ☐ 550 Civil Rights		2 Naturalization Application 3 Habeas Corpus -		Under Equal Access to Justice	
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities - 555 Prison Condition	ı	Alien Detainee		☐ 950 Constitutionality of	
	Other 440 Other Civil Rights		5 Other Immigration Actions		State Statutes	
V. ORIGIN (Place a	ın "X" in One Box Only)				Appeal to District	
□ 2 Re	moved from 3 Remanded from ate Court Appellate Court	Reop	ened anothe	ferred from G 6 Multidistr fry Litigation	ict 7 Judge from	
XXX CANCE OF ACTIV	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you a	ire filing (I	Do not cite jurisdiction:	al statutes unless diversity):	5	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Fair Debt Collection Practices A					
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	N DI	EMAND S	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: Yes No	
VIII. RELATED CAS	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER		
Explanation:						
DATE	SICNATUDE O	E ATTOD	NEY OF RECORD			
Marie	SIGNATURE O	FAITOR	AVE I OF RECORD			

1/30/12

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.					
Address of Plaintiff: 204 01d Savannah Dr., (boose creek sc 29445				
Address of Defendant: 507 POVA ENTIAL Rd. BOYSY	nam pa 10044				
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:	•				
(Use Reverse Side For	Additional Space)				
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation	and any publicly held corporation owning 10% of more of its stock?				
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a	Yes No				
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No.				
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	·				
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:				
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:					
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one y	year previously terminated action in this court				
	Yes				
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior action in this court?	suit pending or within one year previously terminated				
	Yes 🗆 🥻				
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier					
terminated action in this court?	Yes No				
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rigl	nts case filed by the same individual?				
	Yes No.				
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)					
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:				
1. □ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts				
2. □ FELA	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury				
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation				
4. □ Antitrust	4. ☐ Marine Personal Injury				
5. □ Patent	5. □ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury				
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please				
	specify)				
7. □ Civil Rights	7. □ Products Liability				
8. ☐ Habeas Corpus	8. □ Products Liability — Asbestos				
9. ☐ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases				
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)				
11. All other Federal Question Cases 15 V.S.C \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \					
(Please specify)					
ARBITRATION CERT					
I, COUNSEL OF RECORD OF RE					
☐ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and	belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of				
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief other than monetary damages is sought.					
1/20/10	T-1 1/0C				
DATE: 1 SO 12	<u> </u>				
Attorney-at-Law NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if the	Attorney I.D.# ere has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.				
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or	within one year previously terminated action in this court				
except as noted above.	C1.00				
DATE: 1 30 12	<u> </u>				
Attorney-af-Law / CIV. 609 (6/08)	Attorney I.D.#				
CIV. 002 (0/00)					

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

CIVIL ACTION

Tami sterence Jackson:

V.	:		
NCO Financio	al systems, Inc.	NO.	
plaintiff shall complete a C filing the complaint and ser side of this form.) In the designation, that defendan the plaintiff and all other p	Case Management Track Designary a copy on all defendants. (See event that a defendant does not shall, with its first appearance,	teduction Plan of this court, countion Form in all civil cases at the test 1:03 of the plan set forth on the rest agree with the plaintiff regarding submit to the clerk of court and set to the plaintiff regarding the set.	ime of everse g said rve on
SELECT ONE OF THE	FOLLOWING CASE MANAG	EMENT TRACKS:	
(a) Habeas Corpus – Case	s brought under 28 U.S.C. § 224	1 through § 2255.	()
(b) Social Security – Case and Human Services d	()		
(c) Arbitration – Cases rec	quired to be designated for arbitra	ation under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	\bowtie
(d) Asbestos – Cases invo- exposure to asbestos.	lving claims for personal injury of	or property damage from	()
commonly referred to	Cases that do not fall into tracks as complex and that need special side of this form for a detailed e	or intense management by	()
(f) Standard Management	- Cases that do not fall into any	one of the other tracks.	()
Date 30 12 215 540 8888 Telephone	CMIGTHOR KIMME Attorney-at-law 877 188 2864 FAX Number	I Tami+Terence Ja Attorney for E-Mail Address	ackson Haw.com

(Civ. 660) 10/02

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

TAMI AND TERENCE JACKSON,	
Plaintiffs)
v.) Case No.:
NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.,) OMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR URY TRIAL
Defendant) (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices)

COMPLAINT

TAMI and TERENCE JACKSON ("Plaintiffs"), by and through their attorneys, KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C., allege the following against NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC. ("Defendant"):

INTRODUCTION

Plaintiffs' Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15
 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA").

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which states that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 grants this court original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States.
- 3. Defendant has its corporate headquarters in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and as such, personal jurisdiction is established.
 - 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b)(1).

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiffs are natural persons residing in Goose Creek, South Carolina.
- 6. Plaintiff TAMI JACKSON (hereinafter "Ms. Jackson") is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(3).
- 7. Plaintiff TERENCE JACKSON (hereinafter "Mr. Jackson") is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(3).
- 8. Defendant is a national debt collection company with its corporate headquarters located at 507 Prudential Road, Horsham, Pennsylvania 19044.
- 9. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and repeatedly contacted Plaintiffs in an attempt to collect a debt.
- 10. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 11. At all pertinent times hereto, Defendant was hired to collect a consumer debt and attempted to collect that debt from Plaintiffs.
- 12. The debt arose out of transactions that were primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
- 13. Beginning in or around June 1, 2011, and continuing through December 13, 2011, Defendant continuously and repeatedly contacted Plaintiffs on their home and cellular telephones seeking and demanding payment for an alleged debt.
- 14. Defendant contacted Plaintiffs, on average, at least two (2) times a day in its attempts to collect a debt.
 - 15. On more than one occasion, Defendant contacted Plaintiffs more than four (4)

times in a single day.

- 16. When contacting Plaintiffs, Defendant would use an auto-dialer, so that when Plaintiffs would answer the phone, they would receive a pre-recorded message or no one would be on the other line.
- 17. In those instances when no one was on the other end and Plaintiffs would hang up the phone, Defendant immediately called them back, which was done solely to further harass, annoy and abuse Plaintiffs.
- 18. On one occasion, Mr. Jackson spoke with a representative of Defendant, who threatened to report the debt to the credit agencies if Plaintiffs did not pay the alleged debt.
- 19. At the time Defendant threatened to report the debt to the credit agencies, upon information and belief, Defendant did not intend to report the alleged debt.
- 20. Finally, on more than one occasion, Mr. Jackson has instructed Defendant to stop calling him on his cellular telephone.
- 21. However, Defendant ignored Ms. Jackson's instructions and continued to contact him on his cellular telephone and then began contacting Mrs. Jackson on her cellular telephone.
- 22. Within five (5) days of its initial communication with Plaintiffs, Defendant failed to send Plaintiffs written notification of their rights to dispute the debt and/or request verification of the debt.
- 23. To date, Plaintiffs have not received anything in writing regarding the alleged debt.
- 24. Upon information and belief, Defendant called Plaintiffs on a repetitive and continuous basis with the intent of harassing Plaintiffs into paying an alleged debt.

CONSTRUCTION OF LAW

- Durand, 103 F.3d 1232 (5th Cir. 1997). "Because the Act imposes strict liability, a consumer need not show intentional conduct by the debt collector to be entitled to damages." Russell v. Equifax A.R.S., 74 F. 3d 30 (2d Cir. 1996); see also Gearing v. Check Brokerage Corp., 233 F.3d 469 (7th Cir. 2000) (holding unintentional misrepresentation of debt collector's legal status violated FDCPA); Clomon v. Jackson, 988 F. 2d 1314 (2d Cir. 1993).
- 26. The FDCPA is a remedial statute, and therefore must be construed liberally in favor of the debtor. Sprinkle v. SB&C Ltd., 472 F. Supp. 2d 1235 (W.D. Wash. 2006). The remedial nature of the FDCPA requires that courts interpret it liberally. Clark v. Capital Credit & Collection Services, Inc., 460 F. 3d 1162 (9th Cir. 2006). "Because the FDCPA, like the Truth in Lending Act (TILA) 15 U.S.C §1601 et seq., is a remedial statute, it should be construed liberally in favor of the consumer." Johnson v. Riddle, 305 F. 3d 1107 (10th Cir. 2002).
- 27. The FDCPA is to be interpreted in accordance with the "least sophisticated" consumer standard. See Jeter v. Credit Bureau, Inc., 760 F.2d 1168 (11th Cir. 1985); Graziano v. Harrison, 950 F. 2d 107 (3rd Cir. 1991); Swanson v. Southern Oregon Credit Service, Inc., 869 F.2d 1222 (9th Cir. 1988). The FDCPA was not "made for the protection of experts, but for the public that vast multitude which includes the ignorant, the unthinking, and the credulous, and the fact that a false statement may be obviously false to those who are trained and experienced does not change its character, nor take away its power to deceive others less experienced." Id. The least sophisticated consumer standard serves a dual purpose in that it ensures protection of all consumers, even naive and trusting, against deceptive collection

practices, and protects collectors against liability for bizarre or idiosyncratic interpretations of collection notices. Clomon, 988 F. 2d at 1318.

COUNT I DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 28. In its actions to collect a debt, Defendant violated the FDCPA in one or more of the following ways:
 - a. Defendant violated the FDCPA generally;
 - b. Defendant violated §1692d of the FDCPA when it harassed, oppressed or abused Plaintiffs in connection with the collection of a debt;
 - c. Defendant violated §1692d(5) of the FDCPA when it caused Plaintiffs' phones to ring repeatedly or continuously with the intent to annoy, abuse, or harass Plaintiffs;
 - d. Defendant violated §1692e of the FDCPA when it used false, deceptive, or misleading representations or means in connection with its attempt to collect a debt;
 - e. Defendant violated §1692e(5) of the FDCPA when it threatened to take an action that it did not intend to take;
 - f. Defendant violated §1692f of the FDCPA when it used unfair and unconscionable means in connection with the collection of a debt; and
 - g. Defendant violated §1692g(a) of the FDCPA when it failed to send Plaintiffs, within five (5) days of its initial communication with Plaintiffs in connection with the collect of a debt, written notification containing the amount of the debt, the name of the creditor, and a statement regarding Plaintiffs rights to

25

dispute the debt and/or request verification of the debt.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, TAMI and TERENCE JACKSON, respectfully pray for a judgment as follows:

- a. All actual damages suffered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);
- b. Statutor y damages of \$1,000.00 for the violation of the FDCPA pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A);
- c. All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs and other litigation costs incurred by Plaintiffs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1693k(a)(3); and
- d. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiffs, TAMI and TERENCE JACKSON, demand a jury trial in this case.

Date: 1 30 12

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

By: // / Craig Thor Kimmel

Attorney ID No. 57100

Kimmel & Silverman, P.C.

30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002

Phone: (215) 540-8888

Fax: (877) 788-2864

Email: kimmel@creditlaw.com